UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/506,802	09/07/2004	Katsuya Watanabe	Q83437	6804
65565 SUGHRUE-265	7590 04/23/200 5 550	EXAMINER		
	LVANIA AVE. NW	LIAO, DIANA J		
WASHINGTON, DC 20037-3213			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/23/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/506,802	WATANABE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	DIANA J. LIAO	1793	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 13 April 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited al (with appeal fee) in compliance w	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
 a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Arno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) 	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, better the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, better the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, better the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, better the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, better the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, better the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, better the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, better the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, better the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, better the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, better the proposed amendment filed after the proposed amendment	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a concern NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	·		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but The reasoning from the previous rejection still stands. The prior art and the showing of unexpected results are not considered but prior art and the showing of unexpected results are not considered but prior art and the showing of unexpected results are not considered but prior art and the showing of unexpected results are not considered but prior art and the showing of unexpected results are not considered but prior art and the showing of unexpected results are not considered but prior art and the showing of unexpected results are not considered but prior art and the showing of unexpected results are not considered but prior art and the showing of unexpected results are not considered but prior art and the showing of unexpected results are not considered but prior art and the showing of unexpected results are not considered but prior art and the showing of unexpected results are not considered but prior art and the showing of unexpected results are not considered but prior art and the showing of unexpected results are not considered but prior art and the showing shows the showing shows are not considered but prior art and the showing shows the showing shows the sho	ne method of making is found to ha ommensurate in scope with the cla	ve been taught or sug	
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Ngoc-Yen M. Nguyen/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1793	